



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,681	07/03/2001	Selim Shlomo Rakib	034704-000041	1753

7590

07/03/2006

Robert E. Krebs
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP
P.O. Box 640640
San Jose, CA 95164-0640

EXAMINER

SHANG, ANNAN Q

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2623

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/898,681

Applicant(s)

RAKIB, SELIM SHLOMO

Examiner

Annan Q. Shang

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 April 2006.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 04/12/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to claims 1, 2, 4-9 rejected under 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Basso et al (2002/0124262)** in view of **Wilkins (5,446,919)**, applicant argues that "there is no mention in these portions of Bass et al of an IP wrapper circuit functioning in the manner described above..." (see pages labeled 7 of 9 to 8 of 9 of applicant's remarks).

In response, Examiner disagrees. Examiner, notes applicant's arguments, however as discussed in the last office action and further repeat below, applicant's claimed IP wrapper circuit..." is met by **Proxy/Storage/Media managers**, which performs the claimed limitations, i.e., receive the MPEG packets from the plurality of video servers and the hard disk array and encapsulates them in IP multicast packets and encapsulate the IP multicast packets in packets IP multicast packets in LAN packets having station addresses that correspond to the program identifier data and supplying the LAN packets to the switch (see figs 4-8, page 4, [0055-0056] and page 5, [0066-0070]). These cited figures and columns show proxy 210, Storage managers 206. Furthermore as discussed in the office action the inter-VR-Server/Portal-20 can be located in a home or local communities, and receives and communicates services to LAN devices on the network or community having station address (address an inter-portal or Cable Modem, page 3, [0036-0038]) that correspond to the program identifier data and supplying to the switch (301, fig. 5).

Art Unit: 2623

Applicant further quotes MPEP and argues that, the combination of Bass et al., Wilkins and **Rowe et al (6,792,615)** is improper and should be withdrawn.

In response, Examiner disagrees. Examiner notes applicant's arguments, however, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference, nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. In this case Basso teaches a head end system or replay portal that permits a consumer to view one or more live or time shifted performances, from IP network, satellite feed at the head end of a local access plant, TV networks, etc., Wilkins teaches routing downstream message to customers of a television broadcast system telling the customer on which logical channel the requested data will be arriving. Rowe discloses a television distribution system, transmits programming content in MPEG and provides game services to users. All references are in the same field of endeavor, i.e., a head end broadcasting system, as such combining the teaching of Basso in view of Wilkins for claims 1-2 and 4-9 and Basso in view of Wilkins and further in view of Rowe for claim 3, would have be within the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art as well

In view of the above, the combination is proper and maintained as repeated below. This office action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Basso et al (2002/0124262)** in view of **Wilkins (5,446,919)**.

As to claim 1, note the **Basso** reference figures 1-4, discloses network based video replay server or portal (VR-Server-20) and further discloses a headend cherry picker (VR-Server-20) that implements TIVO functions, comprising:

a packet switch (Switch 301, fig. 5 and page 5, [0059]);

a plurality of video servers and satellite feed servers (page 2, [0025], [0028], [0041] and page 6, [0067]) coupled to supply MPEG packets encoding a plurality of video programs, note that VR-Server/Portal-20 is located at the HE, and its architecture includes a farm of RTSP backend of Servers and Satellite receivers (page 5, [0057]);

a hard disk array (STORE/PROXY, page 5, [0056], [0071] and [0073-0075]) storing MPEG packet video data encoding TIVO-like function menus and for storing MPEG packet video data to record programs for which a customer has requested recording (page 2, [0033-0034]);

an IP wrapper circuit (Proxy/Storage/Media managers, figs 4-8, page 4, [0055-0056] and page 5, [0066-0070]) functioning to receive the MPEG packets from the plurality of video servers and the hard disk array and encapsulate them in IP multicast

Art Unit: 2623

packets and encapsulate the IP multicast packets in packets IP multicast packets in LAN packets having station addresses that correspond to the program identifier data and supplying the LAN packets to the switch (301, fig. 5); note that inter-VR-Server/Portal-20 can be located in a home or local communities and receive and communicate services to LAN devices on the network or community having station address (address an inter-portal or Cable Modem, page 3, [0036-0038]) that correspond to the program identifier data and supplying to the switch (301, fig. 5)

a cable modem termination system (CMTS, page 3, [0043]) and cable modem for coupling a hybrid fiber coaxial cable transmission medium (HFC, page 3, [0040] and [0043]) for transmitting iData (Internet data) and command and control data downstream to customers and for receiving commands from each customer to order video programs including recorded programs (page 2, [0033-0034]) or TIVO menus and to control TIVO functions performed by the personal video recorder servers for that customer;

one or more network cherrypickers (Inter-VR-Server/Portal-20 or Secondary hubs page 3, [0035-0036] and [0048]) and transmitter (inherent to Multicast or Unicast Streamers, figs. 7-8, page 6, [0067-0068]) combinations coupled to the switch, each transmitter coupled to the HFC for transmitting the requested programs, recorded programs or TIVO menus to one or more customers;

a system control computer (Proxy/Storage/Media managers, figs 4-8, page 4, [0055-0056] and page 5, [0066-0070]) programmed to exchange data with the switch (301) and control routing by the switch to receive upstream requests for video programs and TIVO function commands and assign one or more logical channels (page 4, [0050-

Art Unit: 2623

0052]) on the HFC to each requested video program, recorded program or menu and to route data encoding requested video programs from one or more of the video servers and recorded programs or TIVO function menus from the hard disk array or one or more personal video recorder (PVR) servers (page 2, [0033-0034], [0053] and page 6, [0071-0075]) and to one or more transcoder servers and to control the one or more transcoder servers with rate shaping commands to alter the data rate of the data to a data rate that matches the available bandwidth to transmit the data to a customer (page 4, [0050-0052]), and control the switch to route the rate shaped data to one or more network cherrypicker and transmitter combination for transmission (Inter-VR-Server/Portal-20 or Secondary Hubs) to the customer that requested the data and route a downstream message to the cable modem (page 4, [0050], note that CMTS instructs CM to tune to a specific frequency) and routing data encoding video programs to be recorded to the hard disk array or one or more PVR-Servers (page 2, [0033-0034], [0053], page 6, [0075-0076]).

Basso fails to explicitly teach routing downstream message from the CM to the customers, indicative of which logical channel the requested data will be arriving on.

However, note **Wilkins** reference figures 3-7, discloses a communication system capable of targeting a demographically or psychographically defined audience, which routes messages (col. 11, lines 20-48 and col. 13, lines 7-29) customers, indicative of which logical channel the requested data (alternate advertisement based on a user preference or profile) will be arriving on.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Wilkins into the system of Basso to transmit downstream messages to indicated to the customer where the requested program will be transmitted to enable the customers to tune to the appropriate channel to receive the requested program content accordingly.

As to claim 2, Basso further discloses Web Server 202 coupled to the switch through an IP wrapper circuit (Proxy/Media/Storage managers) and which outputs to the IP wrapper circuit IP format packets encapsulated in MPEG packets having a PID which identifies the source of the IP data, where the system control computer is programmed to control the switch to use the cable modem termination system and the cable modem to provide broadband internet access to customers (fig. 3 and page 4, [00523]).

As to claim 4, Basso further discloses a server farm of EPG, mail, etc., for sending and receiving MPEG packets encapsulating IP data to one or more customers through one or more customers through one or more IP wrapper circuits and the packet switch and one or more of the cherrypicker and transmitter combinations, and where the control computer is programmed to control the switch to facilitate the exchanges of data with the customers (page 3, [0043-0045], [0057] and page 5, [0066-0068]).

As to claim 5, Basso further discloses where one or more transcoder servers (page 5, [0057-0061]) coupled to the packet switch (Switch 301) for altering the data rate of incoming packets in accordance with rate shaping commands and for outputting to the switch rate shaped data, and where the system control computer (S/M/S Managers) is programmed to route data encoding requested video programs, TIVO

Art Unit: 2623

function menus, or IP data to one or more of the transcoder servers and to control the one or more transcoder servers with rate shaping commands to alter the data rate of the data to a data rate that matches the available bandwidth to transmit the data to customer, and to control the switch to route the rate shaped data to one or more network cherry picker and transmitter combinations for transmission to the customer that requested the data on the assigned logical channel (page 4, [0050-0052])

As to claim 6, the claimed "a headend cherry picker that implements TIVO functions, comprising..." contains the same structural elements that were discussed in the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 7 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 2.

Claim 8 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 3.

Claim 9 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 4.

4. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Basso et al (2002/0124262)** in view of **Wilkins (5,446,919)**, and further in view of **Rowe et al (6,792,615)**.

As to claim 3, Basso as modified by Wilkins, fail to explicitly teach game servers which output game data in MPEG packets to enable Customers to play games.

However, note Rowe reference discloses method and system for creating and distributing programming content in MPEG, including game services to users (figs. 1-2 and col. 15, line 27-col. 16, line 3 and col. 27, lines 29-37).

Art Unit: 2623

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Rowe into the system of Basso as modified by Wilkins to provide additional services, such as interactive games, as an additional enhancement to the television program and further encourage users to play games on TVs.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Annan Q. Shang** whose telephone number is **571-272-7355**. The examiner can normally be reached on **700am-400pm**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher S. Kelley** can be reached on **571-272-7331**. The fax phone


Art Unit: 2623

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the **Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)**. If you would like assistance from a **USPTO Customer Service Representative** or access to the automated information system, call **800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000**.



Annan Q. Shang



CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600